




2021 Census data: Exploring general health data: **Good Health**

Respondents to the 2021 Census were asked to assess their general health on a five-point scale: Very good, Good, Fair, Bad and Very bad.

All districts and boroughs reported a rise in the proportion of residents in 'good health' between 2011 and 2021

● 1. Good Health ● 2. Fair ● 3. Poor Health



4 in 5

494,394 residents in Warwickshire report they are in 'good health' or 'very good' health

In England and Wales, 82% of the population report being in 'good health' or 'very good health' so Warwickshire is in line with this (83.2%).

Stratford-on-Avon reported the highest proportion of residents recording that their health was 'Very good' (52.5%).

The largest increase in the proportion of residents reporting 'very good' health was in **Warwick** District (2.7 percentage points)

In England, the proportion of people reporting very good health increased from 45.0% in 2011 to 47.5% in 2021

Note: Good health includes 'Very good' and 'Good' health. Poor health includes 'Bad' and 'Very bad' Health. COVID-19: Census 2021 was conducted during the coronavirus pandemic. This may have influenced how people perceive and rate their health and how they responded. Percentages are age standardised which accounts for different age structures in populations and are more appropriate when drawing comparisons over time and across areas.




2021 Census data: Exploring general health data: Poor Health

Respondents to the 2021 Census were asked to assess their general health on a five-point scale: Very good, Good, Fair, Bad and Very bad.

Numbers of residents reporting 'bad' or 'very bad' health in the 2021 Census.

Nuneaton and Bedworth reported the highest numbers of people in 'bad' or 'very bad' health (6%)



1 in 20

27,570 residents in Warwickshire report they are in 'bad' or 'very bad' health

In England and Wales, 5% of the population report being in 'poor health', Warwickshire is in line with this.

In the 2021 Census slightly more females reported poor health in comparison to males.

● Female ● Male

5.0%

4.2%

The above differences may in part be explained by older age structure of females compared with males

In England, the proportion of people reporting 'bad' health or 'very bad' health decreased from 6% in 2011 to 5.3% in 2021.

All districts and boroughs reported a **decrease** in the proportion of residents reporting 'very bad' health between the 2011 and 2021 census. The largest decrease was in North Warwickshire (0.8 percentage points). This reduction and corresponding improvements in those reporting 'very good health' fits with the national picture of change in self-reported health observed nationally.


The Office for National Statistics noted that these changes were **driven by improvements in the self-reported health of older people** and may be influenced by a number of factors including; The coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic may have positively influenced people's self-perception of their health. Excess deaths and COVID-19 deaths may have affected the relative size of the less healthy population. Healthy life expectancy has significantly increased for females aged 65+ in the years leading up to the 2021 Census.



2021 Census data: Exploring general health data: **Deprivation**


Respondents to the 2021 Census were asked to assess their general health on a five-point scale: Very good, Good, Fair, Bad and Very bad.

Residents in decile 1 (most deprived) were two and a half times more likely to report 'bad' or 'very bad' health compared to those living in decile 10 (least deprived)



Warwickshire reflected the national picture where there was a higher proportion of people reporting 'poor' or 'very poor health' in the most deprived areas compared to the least deprived areas

Neighbourhoods where people reported higher proportions of residents in 'bad' or 'very bad' health were predominantly in the north of the county





2021 Census data: Exploring general health data: Poor Health

Neighbourhoods with the highest and lowest proportions of residents reporting poor health

Lower super output area (LSOA) code	LSOA local name	District/borough	% reporting poor health	Index of multiple deprivation decile (1= most deprived)
E01031097	Poplar – Coalpit Field	Nuneaton & Bedworth	11.2%	2
E01031043	Nuneaton – Abbey & Town Centre	Nuneaton & Bedworth	10.6%	1
E01031015	Mancetter South & Ridge Lane	North Warwickshire	10.4%	1
E01031064	Bede – Bedworth Town Centre	Nuneaton & Bedworth	10.0%	3
E01031010	Atherstone Town Centre	North Warwickshire	9.3%	2
E01031116	Middlemarch & Swimming Pool	Nuneaton & Bedworth	9.2%	1
E01031057	Bar Pool North & Crescents	Nuneaton & Bedworth	9.1%	1
E01031080	Exhall East	Nuneaton & Bedworth	9.1%	3
E01031066	Bede East	Nuneaton & Bedworth	9.0%	2
E01031053	Attleborough North West	Nuneaton & Bedworth	8.9%	3
Neighbourhoods with the lowest proportions of residents reporting poor health				
E01031308	Stoneleigh	Warwick	2.0%	7
E01035035	Meon Vale	Stratford-on-Avon	1.9%	8
E01035038	Warwick Gates – Othello Park	Warwick	1.9%	10
E01035020	Rugby – Technology Drive	Rugby	1.6%	6
E01032893	Cawston South	Rugby	1.6%	10
E01031299	Glass House & Windy Arbour	Warwick	1.5%	10
E01035027	Cosford & Swift Valley	Rugby	1.4%	7
E01033250	Bridgetown South East	Stratford-on-Avon	1.4%	8
E01033254	Chase Meadow	Warwick	1.4%	10
E01031284	Leek Wootton, Guys Cliffe & Beausale	Warwick	1.2%	9