

No.	Warwickshire SA Objective	Spatial Option 1	Spatial Option 2	Spatial Option 3	Spatial Option 4	Spatial Option 5
1	Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)	ST MT LT	ST MT LT	ST MT LT	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)
2	Protect and improve water resources	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)	- 0 ++	- + ++	- + ++	Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within over 6,000 population within Warwickshire: Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne
3	Avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)	0 ++	0 ++	0 ++	Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within, or in close proximity (i.e. approx .5km) to the main settlements of over 6,000 population i.e. Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne
4	Safeguard environmental quality.	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)	0 - 0 0 +	0 + 0 + +	0 - 0 + -	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)
5	To minimise potential impacts on community health	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)	0 0 0 0 +	0 + 0 + +	0 - 0 + -	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)
6	To conserve and enhance the character and quality of the County's landscape and townscape	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)	- - 0 0 +	+ + 0 +	0 - 0 +	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)
7	Preserve and enhance sites, features and areas of historic, archaeological or architectural importance, and their settings	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)	0 0 0 0 +	0 + 0 +	0 - 0 +	Effect (+/+ , +, 0 , -, -/-, ?)

No.	Warwickshire SA Objective	Spatial Option 1	Spatial Option 2	Spatial Option 3	Spatial Option 4	Spatial Option 5
8	Protect soil resources	Develop new facilities County wide on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities.	Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities.	Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within, or in close proximity (i.e. approx .5km) to the main settlements of over 6,000 population i.e. Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne	Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within, or in close proximity (i.e. approx .5km) to the main settlements of over 6,000 population i.e. Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne	A 'settlement hierarchy' option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity
9	To preserve and protect geological features and promote geological conservation	Effect (+/+ , +, 0, -, -/-, ?)	Effect (+/+ , +, 0, -, -/-, ?)	Effect (+/+ , +, 0, -, -/-, ?)	Effect (+/+ , +, 0, -, -/-, ?)	Effect (+/+ , +, 0, -, -/-, ?)
10	To promote the delivery of energy efficiency and carbon reduction targets	ST 0	MT +	LT ++	ST 0	MT LT
11	Reduce consumption of natural resources	+	++	+	-	++
12	To promote adherence to the movement of waste up the waste hierarchy.	-	-	0 0	-	+
13	Enfranchise the community in improving the local environment	-	-	0	-	++
14	Improve accessibility to waste management services and	-	-	-	-	++

Sustainability Appraisal Matrices – Waste Core Strategy Emerging Spatial Options consultation (March 2011)  
 Sustainability Appraisal Matrices – Waste Core Strategy Emerging Spatial Options consultation (March 2011)

No.	SA Objective	A 'settlement hierarchy' option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity											
		Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within, or in close proximity (i.e. approx .5km) to the main settlements of over 6,000 population i.e. Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne											
		Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within over 6,000 population within Warwickshire: Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne											
		ST	MT	LT	ST	MT	LT	ST	MT	LT	ST	MT	LT
15	facilities												
	Ensure that the waste industry plays a central role in the sustainable economic development of Warwickshire.	+ +	+ +	+ +	0 0	- -	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
	To encourage waste operators to explore new and innovative environmental technologies.	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +	+ +
	TOTALS	++ = 4	++ = 7	++ = 7	++ = 20	++ = 20	++ = 20	++ = 11	++ = 19	++ = 19	++ = 6	++ = 19	++ = 19
		+ = 9	+ = 9	+ = 9	0 = 10	0 = 10	0 = 10	0 = 11	+ = 19	+ = 19	+ = 24	+ = 13	+ = 13
		- = 17	- = 17	- = 17	- = 11	- = 11	- = 11	- = 9	0 = 11	0 = 11	0 = 11	0 = 9	0 = 9
		-- = 0	-- = 0	-- = 0	-- = 0	-- = 0	-- = 0	-- = 0	-- = 0	-- = 0	-- = 0	-- = 7	-- = 7
												- = 0	-- = 0

**Spatial Option 1 - Develop new facilities County wide on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities.**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect Positive	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	Enhancement and mitigation
			ST	MT	LT		
1 Conserve and enhance biodiversity	New facilities on industrial estates, brownfield land and existing waste management facilities will help conserve, and prevent use of, greenfield sites. Over the long term, new habitats could be created. With the largest choice of sites, the option provides greater scope for conservation of important ecological sites and/or the enhancement of less biodiverse sites.	Likely to be negative impacts in the short term such as noise, vibration, pollution etc., due to site construction. The scope of this option could mean that negative effects could be experienced at qualifying sites anywhere in the County.				Beneficial effects will not be realised immediately. Scope of this option means that negative effects from new development on habitats and species (i.e. through noise, vibration, pollution etc.) could be experienced anywhere in the County.	Good opportunity for siting on brownfield land, thereby protecting biodiverse areas in rural locations. Care should be taken to preserve the areas of local/regional/national/European ecological importance. Local, short term negative impacts could be minimised/eliminated through appropriate design and site management. Furthermore, there is potential for effective design to help enhance biodiversity for certain sites.
	Protect and improve water resources	Generally cleaner technologies should be adopted in new waste management facilities and should help to protect and improve local water resources over the long term. Largest choice of sites provides greater scope for protection of vulnerable water resources.				The benefits through reduced reliance on landfill should prevent any additional impacts. Likely that water sources will be protected through appropriate site design, and there may be scope for improvement at certain sites.	Less reliance on landfill over the plan period should prevent any additional impacts. Continued monitoring will be required to ensure that water resources are not compromised, in particular the Avon and Tame catchments. There is scope to minimise any negative impact on water resources (and potentially provide enhancement) through diligent site selection and effective site design.
2		As additional sites could be developed County wide, there may be some effects on local water resources in the short term.	0	+	++		
3	Avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will help in steering development to lower flood risk areas. The scope of this option means that there are more sites to choose from. New development would have to comply with building control requirements (SUDs, recycling rainwater etc.) Option provides the greatest choice of sites for consideration	+ Qualifying sites may be located in flood risk zones.	++	++	The SFRA Sequential Test will help to steer development away from flood risk areas to mitigate against the negative effects of flooding. A greater number of sites for consideration will enable less susceptible sites to be chosen.	Sites would be diligently chosen with respect to their potential impacts on the environment including flood risk, population and economy. The region is particularly prone to flooding, so diligent site selection is key to minimising the risk. The scope of the option means that a greater number of low risk sites can be considered.

**Spatial Option 1 - Develop new facilities County wide on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities.**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	Enhancement and mitigation
			ST	MT	LT		
4	To safeguard environmental quality.	New developments county wide could result in noise, vibration, pollution etc. Scope of option could result in sites in remote locations which could lead to more traffic movements that could impact on environmental quality.	-	-	-	The remote location of sites could impact upon environmental quality due to pollution, noise and vibration from more traffic movements. The negative effects from creating new development could be experienced County wide.	The design of the development and the working practices would have to comply with set regulations in place to prevent damage to the environment. Sites would be diligently chosen to help safeguard environmental quality as much as possible. Consultation with professional bodies such as the Environment Agency will also play a part in safeguarding environmental quality.
5	To minimise potential impacts on community health	The wider geographical area means that there is greater scope to site waste away from population, reducing impacts upon community health. More sites can be considered – this can help to minimise any impacts on community health.	0	0	0	The benefits from having a larger choice of sites would have to be balanced against the negative impacts that would arise from potentially not locating development in areas of highest waste arisings.	Consultation with a wide range of stakeholders will seek to incorporate concerns that the public may have. It is key that new sites do not jeopardise community health as Warwickshire compares favourably with England and Wales.
6	To conserve and enhance the character and quality of the County's landscape and townscapes	New facilities on industrial estates, brownfield land and existing waste management facilities County wide will help conserve, and prevent use of, greenfield sites, thus helping to conserve the County's landscape. Potentially more sites for consideration – greater scope to pick better sites that will have less impact on areas of higher landscape/ landscape value.	-	-	0	The scope of this option means that more sites could be developed, although potentially more areas of landscape and townscape merit may be affected under this option.	Site selection as well as site development is key in ensuring that impacts to the landscape and townscape are minimised, in particular, the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. New development would have to accord with design guidance so as to be sympathetic to the surroundings.
7	Preserve and enhance sites, features and areas of historic,	Likely that these objectives will be met as a result of integrated planning policy considerations. The scope of this option means that more sites can be considered, thus	0	0	0	The benefits through having more sites for consideration are balanced against the potential impacts on all assets	Through the integrated planning policy considerations, it is likely that assets will be preserved, and where possible, improved. Site selection as well as site development is key in ensuring that

Spatial Option 1 - Develop new facilities County wide on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities.						
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Spatial Option		Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	Enhancement and mitigation
			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/)	Spatial Option ST      MT      LT		
1 archaeological or architectural importance, and their settings.	Positive	potentially be affected. Although they could be preserved, they are not likely to be enhanced through new waste developments.			County wide.	impacts to areas of historic, archaeological or architectural importance are minimised. Consultation with bodies such as English Heritage will help to preserve Warwickshire's cultural heritage resources.
2 8 Protect soil resources	preserving features where possible.	Geographical scope of option means that sites County wide could be developed. Potential impacts on soil resources as a result of additional sites will be balanced by the reduced reliance upon landfill.			Likely that soil resources will not be impacted in the short term, however there may be the opportunity to enhance soil resources through brownfield development and through less reliance on landfill over the medium and long term.	Opportunity to diversify waste management facilities thereby placing less reliance on landfill and over time improving soil resources. Knowledge of soils at the planning application stage will help to protect higher quality soils. Impacts could be minimised through appropriate site design.
3 9 To preserve and protect geological features and promote geological conservation	Scope of option means that only existing waste sites, industrial estates and brownfield industrial land in the identified areas will be affected. Scope of option may mean that there are a greater number of available sites, thus affording greater protection to areas with higher quality soils.	Geological conservation should be achieved through integrated planning and appropriate alternative site selection. The scope of the option means that there is a greater choice of sites, allowing greater scope to pick sites of lesser impact on geological features.	0	+      +      +	The benefits through having more sites for consideration are balanced against the potential impacts on all geological assets County wide.	Local Geological Sites (LGSs - sites of recognised geological/geomorphological interest) should be protected as far as possible. Geological conservation should be achieved through integrated planning policy considerations.
10 To promote the delivery of energy efficiency and carbon reduction targets	To preserve and protect geological features and promote geological conservation	Scope of this option could mean that geological features County wide could be affected by development. Limited opportunity for promoting geological conservation through providing new waste sites.	0	0      0	The addition of new sites will increase the overall carbon load in the short term. However, the benefits over the medium and long term through diversification of waste management practices will outweigh the short term negative impacts.	Regular maintenance and monitoring is key to ensuring that targets are met. The diversification of waste management practices means that there is an opportunity to meet this SA Objective as a result of new treatment facilities. Locating waste sites close to areas of population will help in ensuring that communities take greater ownership of their waste, which may help in reducing.

Spatial Option 1 - Develop new facilities County wide on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities.						
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Spatial Option		Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	Enhancement and mitigation
			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/)	Spatial Option ST      MT      LT		
	Positive				reusing and recycling the waste produced – this would help to achieve this objective.	
	wide.					
11	Reduce consumption of natural resources	In the short term, the provision of new waste infrastructure would result in an increase in the consumption of natural resources. Waste sites may be remote from areas of high waste arisings- this may result in higher fuel consumption through a greater number of/longer traffic movements.			New sites will increase the consumption of natural resources in the short term. The benefits of managing waste in accordance with the Waste Hierarchy will be outweighed by the medium and long term impacts from potentially remote waste facilities.	
12	To promote adherence to the movement of waste up the waste hierarchy	In the long and medium term, waste should be moved up the waste hierarchy as a result of diversification of treatment and disposal technologies. The location of facilities is secondary in achieving this objective, but the option would enable this. A large choice of sites will improve site viability, which will enable the movement of waste up the hierarchy.			The addition of new waste treatment and disposal sites would increase the quantity of waste being appropriately managed, although the potential remote location of facilities may not force waste to be driven up the waste hierarchy over the medium and long term.	
13	Enfranchise the community in improving the local environment	Unlikely that this option would steer waste development to the areas of highest waste arisings – this may not force high waste producing communities to take ownership of their waste, particularly in terms of reducing, reusing and recycling waste.			The option may not drive the highest waste producing communities to take ownership of their waste, which may prevent this SA objective from being achieved over the short, medium and long term.	

Spatial Option 1 - Develop new facilities County wide on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities.							
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	
			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)	ST	MT	LT	
	Positive						
14	Improve accessibility to waste management services and facilities	Scope of this option means that more sites can be investigated to find the most accessible in the County. More accessible sites may help in managing waste in accordance with the waste hierarchy.	Although more sites can be considered, development could still potentially be sited in remote locations.	-	-	-	Site selection should aim not only to reduce the distance required to travel to waste management facilities, but also consider potential impacts to the environment and human health.
15	To ensure that the waste industry plays a central role in the sustainable economic development of Warwickshire	This option can help to incorporate self sufficiency, energy efficiency and diversity of treatment and disposal measures, which should contribute to sustainable economic growth. Also enables energy from waste to be distributed throughout the County. Potential employment opportunities Countywide.	Some remote locations could still be chosen. This may limit the opportunities to harness potential economies of scale and/or drive the use of innovative technologies.	+ +	+ +	+ +	The potential benefits of the option are likely to outweigh the negative impacts over the short medium and long term.
16	To encourage waste operators to explore new and innovative environmental technologies.	This option could facilitate diversification of waste treatment and disposal options.	This option could facilitate location in remote areas which may deter operators from exploring innovative technologies.	0 0	0 0	0 0	The scope of this option may mean that operators are not driven to explore new and innovative technologies over the short, medium and long term.  It will be important to retain awareness of the new and innovative technologies over the period of the plan so that the policies do not prevent them front coming on stream.

## Spatial Option 2 - Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities.

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect Positive	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)	Spatial Option	Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	Enhancement and mitigation	
				ST	MT	LT	
1 Conserve and enhance biodiversity	New facilities at existing waste management facilities will help conserve, and prevent use of, greenfield sites. Over the long term, new habitats could be created and those established on industrial estates and brownfield land could be preserved.	Likely to be negative impacts in the short term such as noise, vibration, pollution), due to the construction of new sites. Potential cumulative impacts at existing locations. Existing waste sites could be located in remote locations- biodiversity could be adversely affected through increased transportation.	-	+ ++		Beneficial effects will not be realised immediately. Scope of this option means that negative effects from new development (noise, vibration, pollution etc.) will only be experienced at existing sites, conserving large areas of the County	Care should be taken to preserve the areas of local/regional/national/European ecological importance near existing sites. Local, short term negative impacts could be minimised/eliminated through appropriate design and site management. Furthermore, there is potential for effective design to help enhance biodiversity at existing sites.
2 Protect and improve water resources	Generally cleaner technologies should be adopted in new waste management facilities and should protect and improve local water resources in the long term. Extension to existing sites only could protect water resources elsewhere.	Potential cumulative impact on water resources at existing sites.	0	+ ++		Expansion to existing sites would generally bring greater benefits over the longer term as large areas of the County would remain unaffected. New sites may provide opportunity for improvement.	Continued monitoring will be required to ensure that water resources are not compromised, in particular the Avon and Tame catchments.
3 Avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will help in reducing flood risk as far as possible. Flood risk would have been tested through the planning application process for the majority of sites. New development would have to comply with building control requirements (SUDs, recycling rainwater etc.)	Some existing waste sites may be located in flood risk zones. Potential cumulative impact at existing sites.	+ +	+ +		The SFRA Sequential Test will locate development in low flood risk areas to mitigate against the negative effects of flooding.	Sites should be diligently chosen with respect to their potential impacts on the environment including flood risk, population and economy. The region is particularly prone to flooding, so diligent site selection is key to minimising the risk. The scope of the option means that a limited number of sites can be considered.
4 To safeguard environmental quality	Impacts on environmental quality will be assessed at the planning application stage – where unacceptable environmental	Cumulative environmental impacts could be experienced at existing sites. The scope of the option could result in new	0	+ +		The remote location of sites could impact upon environmental quality due to pollution, noise and	The design of the development and the working practices would have to comply with set regulations in place to prevent damage to the environment.

**Spatial Option 2 - Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities.**

Spatial Option 2 - Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities.						
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Spatial Option			
			Net Effect (+/+, +, 0, -, -)			
1	Positive	Negative	ST	MT	LT	
2	impacts are predicted, the development will not be permitted. The negative effects of new development (noise, vibration, dust etc.) will be restricted to where existing waste sites are established, therefore large areas of the County should be safeguarded.	development in remote locations which could lead to more traffic movements - these may have a negative environmental impact over the medium and long term.				
3	To minimise potential impacts upon community health.	New development will have some degree of impact on community health. Impacts upon community health will be greater on those living in close proximity to the existing sites. Potential remote location of sites may mean that other communities may be adversely affected through transportation.	+ +	+ +	+ +	
4	5	Negative impacts resulting from new development will only be experienced at existing sites only.				
5	To conserve and enhance the character and quality of the County's landscape and townscapes	New facilities on existing waste management facilities only will help conserve, and prevent use of, greenfield sites, as well as conserving areas of landscape and townscape merit. Impacts have already been tested at existing sites.				
6	Preserve and enhance sites, features and	Likely that these objectives will be met as a result of integrated planning policy considerations.				
7		Although assets will be preserved by siting on existing waste sites only, they are not likely to be				
		Through the integrated planning policy considerations, it is likely that these will be preserved, and where possible,				

## Spatial Option 2 - Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities.

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect Positive	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)	Spatial Option	Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>
				ST	
areas of historic, archaeological or architectural importance, and their settings	Developing on existing sites only will preserve assets elsewhere. Likely that impacts would have been tested at existing sites through the planning application process.	enhanced through waste developments. Potential cumulative negative impact on assets at existing sites.			improved. Consultation with bodies such as English Heritage and other groups will help to preserve Warwickshire's historic, archaeological and architectural assets.
8 Protect soil resources	Situating development on existing sites only will prevent loss of high quality agricultural land and prevent impacts to soil resources elsewhere in the County.	Potential negative impacts on soil resources at existing wastes as a result of additional development will be balanced by the reduced reliance upon landfill.	+ ++	++ +	Likely that soil resources will not be impacted in the short term, however there may be the opportunity to enhance soil resources through less reliance on landfill longer term. Large areas of high quality soils will remain unaffected.
9 To preserve and protect geological features and promote geological conservation	Geological conservation should be achieved through integrated planning policy considerations. The scope of the option means that fewer sites for development can be considered.	The geology at existing sites could be negatively affected.	0	+ +	The negative effects resulting from development on existing sites (vibration, excavation etc.) will be outweighed by the benefits in preserving geological assets elsewhere.
10 To promote the delivery of energy efficiency and carbon reduction targets	To co-locate/extending existing sites could produce economies of scale from the existing infrastructure over the short term. Benefits through recovery technologies could be distributed Countywide.		- -	+ +	The benefits from co-location/extending existing sites would have to be balanced with the potential traffic impacts that may result from being remote from areas of highest waste arisings, meaning that greater traffic movements could reduce energy efficiency and prevent carbon reduction.

## Spatial Option 2 - Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities.

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect Positive	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)	Spatial Option	Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>
				ST	
11 Reduce consumption of natural resources	Co-location/extending existing sites could produce economies of scale from the existing infrastructure over the longer term.	In the short term there would be an increase in the consumption of natural resources during site construction. Scope of this option will result in greater resource consumption from a larger number of/longer traffic movements.	-	0	New development will increase the consumption of natural resources in the short term. The benefits of co-location and using existing infrastructure will be balanced by the potential medium and long term traffic impacts.
12 To promote adherence to the movement of waste up the waste hierarchy.	The co-location of facilities, and the increased scope for economies of scale, may make it easier for waste to be managed in accordance with the principles of the waste hierarchy.	Existing sites may be operating at maximum capacity and there may not be scope to expand. This may prevent this SA objective from being achieved. This approach may not help to drive waste up the hierarchy.	-	0	Any potential benefits from using existing sites may potentially be neutralised by the negative impacts of the option.
13 Enfranchise the community in improving the local environment	Option would facilitate some communities (i.e. those local to existing sites) to be enfranchised in improving the local environment.	Communities Countywide are less likely to be enfranchised due to the expansion of existing sites only. Development at existing sites only may not help in achieving this SA objective.	0	-	It is likely that over the short, medium and long term, this option would not help in enfranchising all communities County wide, in improving their local environment.
14 Improve accessibility to waste management services and facilities	Accessibility at existing waste sites will already have been tested through the planning application process.	Some remote sites may still exist. Potential location away from population may constrain self sufficiency; people may be less inclined to positively manage their waste. Being remote from areas of highest arisings may result in increased transportation, which may have a negative	-	-	Siting in remote locations could result in more frequent and longer traffic movements, which could lead to increased costs, pollution, noise, etc. Extensions to existing sites may not be viable. Potential benefits may be outweighed.

**Spatial Option 2 - Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities.**

Spatial Option 2 - Develop new facilities County wide on existing waste management facilities.									
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>			
			Net Effect (+/+, +, 0, -, -)	ST	MT	LT	Enhancement and mitigation		
15	Positive	Negative					by a larger number of negative short, medium and long term impacts.		
16	To ensure that the waste industry plays a central role in the sustainable economic development of Warwickshire	Economies of scale through utilising existing infrastructure could be achieved by extending existing sites. The option would also create employment opportunities at existing sites.					The economies of scale and employment opportunities achieved in the short term would have to be balanced against the potential transport costs and impacts incurred over the longer term.		
		Potential remote location of some sites could result in higher transportation costs. Only existing waste site locations may benefit from employment opportunities.		0	0		Waste should be used as a resource where possible as this will continue to play a central role in the sustainable economic development of Warwickshire. Opportunities to utilise more sustainable forms of transport (e.g. rail, canal etc.) should be investigated.		
							Location close to communities could force better practice in terms of design and operations.		
							Ensure environmental technologies used are kept in line with advancements in cleaner technology in the long term.		

**Spatial Option 3 - Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing the main settlements of over 6,000 population within Warwickshire: Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford, Warwick and Wellesbourne.**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>
			ST	MT	LT	
	Positive	Negative				
1 Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Option encourages the use of brownfield industrial land and industrial estates in highly populated urban locations, helping to conserve, and prevent use of rural areas/greenfield sites - the Option will help to protect the wildlife populations and habitats in these locations.	Likely to be negative impacts on wildlife populations and habitats in the short term such as noise, vibration, pollution etc. during the initial construction phase. Limited opportunity for significantly enhancing wildlife populations and habitats in these locations.	-	+	++	Large areas of County protected from new development resulting in long term benefits. Scope of this option means that there could be negative effects from new development (noise, vibration, pollution etc.)
2 Protect and improve water resources	Generally cleaner technologies should be adopted in new waste management facilities and should protect and improve local water resources in the long term. Option means that there is scope for diligent site selection to protect water resources.	Scope of the option means that potentially fewer locations can be considered - protection and improvement of certain water resources may not be possible.	0	0	+	Continued monitoring will be required to ensure water resources are not compromised, in particular the Avon and Tame catchments. Scope to minimise any negative impact on water resources (and potentially provide enhancement) through appropriate site design.
3 Avoid, reduce and manage flood risk	The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will help in reducing flood risk far as possible. New development would have to comply with building control requirements (SUDs, recycling rainwater etc.)	Scope of this option means that there are potentially fewer sites to choose from. Potential sites may be located in flood risk areas.	0	0	0	The SFRA Sequential Test will site development in low flood risk areas to mitigate against the negative effects of flooding.

**Spatial Option 3 - Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing the main settlements of over 6,000 population within Warwickshire: Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford, Warwick and Wellesbourne.**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)	Spatial Option	Commentary/ Explanation		
					Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities	Enhancement and mitigation	
	Positive	Negative		ST	MT	LT	
4	Option facilitates regeneration of previously developed land, protecting greenfield/rural locations. Scope of option would mean that waste should be managed as close as possible to its source, thus minimising the potential negative environmental impacts from transporting waste.	New developments could result in noise, vibration, pollution etc. during construction and operation. Scope of option could limit these effects to the areas that produce the majority of the waste, in accordance with the principles of proximity and self sufficiency.	-	+	0	+	New development could impact upon environmental quality due to pollution, noise , vibration etc. The negative effects of new development would have to be balanced against the benefits from treating the waste close to its source over the medium/long term.
5	To minimise potential impacts upon community health.	Option would facilitate waste being treated close to its source, in accordance with the 'polluter pays' principle.	-	-	-	-	The design of the development and the working practices would have to comply with set regulations in place to prevent damage to the environment. Sites would be diligently chosen to help safeguard environmental quality as much as possible. Consultation with professional bodies such as the Environment Agency will also play a part in safeguarding environmental quality.
6	To conserve and enhance the character	New facilities on industrial estates, brownfield land and existing waste management facilities will help	-	0	+	+	Consultation with a wide range of stakeholders will seek to incorporate potential concerns. Monitoring of sites will prevent any unacceptable impacts on community health. Potential impacts may be minimised through appropriate site design and working practices. It is key that new sites do not have an unacceptable impact on community health as Warwickshire compares favourably with England and Wales.
							Site selection and design is key to ensuring that impacts to the landscape and townscape are minimised, and

**Spatial Option 3 - Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing the main settlements of over 6,000 population within Warwickshire: Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford, Warwick and Wellesbourne.**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)	Spatial Option	Commentary/ Explanation		Enhancement and mitigation
					Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities		
	Positive	Negative					
	and quality of the County's landscape and townscapes	identified. Likely that most townscapes are unlikely to be enhanced by new waste development. Potentially fewer sites for consideration – less scope to pick better sites that will have less impact on areas of higher townscape/ landscape value.	ST	MT	LT	development would have to accord with design guidance so as to be sympathetic to the surroundings.	where possible, enhanced.
	7 Preserve and enhance sites, features and areas of historic, archaeological, and architectural importance, and their settings	Potentially fewer sites for consideration – less scope to pick sites of lesser impact on sites, features and areas designated as of historic, archaeological or architectural importance in the locations identified. Although they could be preserved, they are unlikely to be enhanced.		+	0	Through the integrated planning policy considerations, it is likely that designated sites should be preserved. Scope for enhancement where possible.	Site selection as well as site design is key in ensuring that impacts to areas of historic, archaeological or architectural importance are minimised. Consultation with bodies such as English Heritage will help to preserve Warwickshire's cultural heritage resources.
8 Protect soil resources	Scope of option means that only existing waste sites, industrial estates and brownfield industrial land in the identified settlements will be affected. Higher quality soils in rural/greenfield areas would be protected under this option.	Potential impacts on soil resources as a result of additional sites will be balanced by the future protection of soils through reduced reliance upon landfill.	0	+	+	Likely that soil resources will not be impacted in the short term, however there may be the opportunity to protect other soil resources through using previously developed land and	Knowledge of sites will allow for assessment of soil resources to minimise the loss of high quality agricultural land. Opportunity to diversify waste management facilities thereby placing less reliance on landfill and over time improving soil resources.

**Spatial Option 3 - Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing the main settlements of over 6,000 population within Warwickshire: Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford, Warwick and Wellesbourne.**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Net Effect (+/+, +, 0, -, -/-)	Spatial Option	Commentary/ Explanation	
					Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities	Enhancement and mitigation
	Positive	Negative		ST	MT	LT
9	To preserve and protect geological features and promote geological conservation	Geological conservation should be achieved through integrated planning and appropriate site selection. Scope of option means that geological features in rural/greenfield areas would be protected.	Potentially fewer sites for consideration – less scope to pick sites of lesser impact in the locations identified.	0	+	+
10	To promote the delivery of energy efficiency and carbon reduction targets	Option would facilitate the management of waste close to areas of significant waste arisings, thus reducing CO2 emissions through reduced transportation. Option would enable waste to be managed in accordance with the principles of the Waste Hierarchy. Scope for more energy efficient/renewable technologies at new sites.	Likely that the need for additional sites would not contribute to carbon reduction targets in the short term.	-	+	++
11	Reduce consumption of natural resources	In the short term, the option should have a beneficial impact in terms of reducing the consumption of natural resources, as it facilitates the management of waste in accordance with the principles of	In the short term there would be an increase in the consumption of natural resources to build the new developments. Scope of this option could mean that there are fewer available sites.	-	+	+

**Spatial Option 3 - Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing the main settlements of over 6,000 population within Warwickshire: Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford, Warwick and Wellesbourne.**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>
				ST	MT	LT	
	Positive	Negative					
	the Waste Hierarchy. Scope of option means that waste is managed reasonably close to source, reducing fuel usage.	Competition for land may mean that there is less scope for co-location of facilities, of which the economies of scale would further reduce the consumption of natural resources.					Waste Hierarchy will provide greater benefits over the longer term. Some waste sites in the locations may not be suitable/viable for co-location of facilities.
12	To promote adherence to the movement of waste up the waste hierarchy	Option should promote and facilitate the reduction, reuse, recycling and recovery of waste over the medium and longer term through the diversification of waste management technologies. Managing waste reasonably close to source would promote self sufficiency.	The addition of new waste sites may not drive a reduction in waste. Competition for land may prevent co-location of waste facilities, which may compromise the scope for economies of scale .	0	+	+	The option offers significant benefits for this SA objective over the medium and longer term and these outweigh any negative effects of the option.
13	Enfranchise the community in improving the local environment	Option enables communities to take greater ownership of their waste (in accordance with the principles of self sufficiency and proximity). The option should help to empower communities to reduce, re-use and recycle their waste in order to improve the local environment.			++	++	The option offers significant benefits for this SA objective over the short, medium and long term.
14	Improve accessibility to waste management services and	Scope of this option means that waste sites should be located close to areas of relatively high waste arisings, thereby improving accessibility to waste management	Some locations could still potentially be remote from areas of highest waste arisings. New sites may place greater pressure on existing infrastructure in the	+	++	++	Site selection should aim not only to reduce the distance required to travel to waste management facilities, but also to consider potential impacts to the environment and human health.

**Spatial Option 3 - Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing the main settlements of over 6,000 population within Warwickshire: Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford, Warwick and Wellesbourne.**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect Positive	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Net Effect (++, +, 0, -, -/)	Spatial Option	Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>		
					ST	MT	LT
	facilities	services and facilities.					
15	To ensure that the waste industry plays a central role in the sustainable economic development of Warwickshire	This option can help to incorporate self sufficiency, energy efficiency and diversity of treatment and disposal measures, as well as facilitate economies of scale which should contribute to sustainable economic growth over the long term. Also enables energy from waste to be distributed locally.	Option could deny employment opportunities in some remote rural locations.		+ +		
16	To encourage waste operators to explore new and innovative environment al technologies.	This option could facilitate diversification of waste treatment and disposal options due to reasonable proximity to population. Locating waste development in populated areas may drive operators to explore and use new and innovative environmental technologies.	Competition for land in these locations may mean that there is a shortage of land available to implement innovative technologies that make use of economies of scale.		+ ++		

Spatial Option 4 - Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within, or in close proximity (i.e. approx . 5km) to the main settlements of over 6,000 population i.e. Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dordon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne.							
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0,-, -/)			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	
			Spatial Option	ST	MT	LT	
1 Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Positive	Negative	Likely to be negative impacts on wildlife populations and habitats in the short term such as noise, vibration, pollution etc. during the initial construction phase. Potentially a limited opportunity for significantly enhancing wildlife populations and habitats through waste development. A larger number of sites could potentially be affected under this option.	-	+ +		Options provides a wider choice of sites for consideration. Care should be taken to preserve the areas of local/regional/national/European ecological importance. Local, short term negative impacts could be minimised/eliminated through appropriate design and site management. Furthermore, there is potential for effective design to help enhance biodiversity for certain sites.
2 Protect and improve water resources			Scope of the option allows potentially more locations to be considered, enabling the protection (and potential improvement) of certain water resources.	0	0		Generally cleaner technologies should be adopted in new waste management facilities and should protect and improve local water resources in the long term.
3 Avoid, reduce and manage flood risk			The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will help in reducing flood risk as far as possible. New development would have to	0	+ +		The SfRA Sequential Test will site development in low flood risk areas to
			Existing waste sites may be located in flood risk zones.				Sites would be diligently chosen with respect to their potential impacts on the environment including flood risk, population and economy. The region is

Spatial Option 4 - Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within, or in close proximity (i.e. approx . 5km) to the main settlements of over 6,000 population i.e. Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dorddon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne.							
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0,-, -/-)			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	
			Spatial Option	ST	MT	LT	
Positive	Negative						particularly prone to flooding, so diligent site selection is key to minimising the risk.
comply with building control requirements (SLUDs, recycling rainwater etc.) Scope of option means that there are more sites available for consideration.							New development could mitigate against the negative effects of flooding.
4 To safeguard environmental quality	Option facilitates regeneration of previously developed land, protecting remote rural, greenfield locations. Scope of option would mean that waste should be managed close to its source, thus minimising the potential negative environmental impacts from transporting waste.	New developments could result in noise, vibration, pollution etc. during construction and operation. Large proportion of the County is covered under this option – potentially more areas could be affected. Some locations may be remote from the areas of highest waste arisings, resulting in a potential negative environmental impact through transportation of waste.					The design of the development and the working practices would have to comply with set regulations in place to prevent damage to the environment. Sites would be diligently chosen to help safeguard environmental quality as much as possible. Consultation with professional bodies such as the Environment Agency will also play a part in safeguarding environmental quality.
5							Consultation with a wide range of stakeholders will seek to incorporate potential concerns. Monitoring of sites will prevent any unacceptable impacts on community health. Potential impacts may be minimised through appropriate site design and working practices. It is key that new sites do not have an unacceptable impact on community health as Warwickshire compares favourably with England and Wales.
6 To conserve	Option will help to conserve, and	Probable that new sites will have		0	0		The scope of this option
				+	+		Site selection and design is key to

SA Objective		Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)			Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation	Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities	Enhancement and mitigation
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Positive	Negative			ST	MT	LT				Commentary/ Explanation	Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities	Enhancement and mitigation
			ST	MT	LT									
1	and enhance the character and quality of the County's landscape and townscapes	prevent use of, remote, rural sites. Cotswold AONB would be conserved/protected under this option. Potentially more sites for consideration – greater scope to pick better sites that will have less impact on areas of higher townscape/ landscape value.	a negative effect on the townscape in the locations identified. Likely that most townscapes or landscapes are unlikely to be enhanced by new waste development									ensuring that impacts to the landscape and townscape are minimised, and where possible, enhanced.		
2	Preserve and enhance sites, features and areas of historic, archaeological or architectural importance, and their settings	Likely that these objectives will be met as a result of integrated planning policy considerations. Potentially more sites for consideration – greater scope to pick sites of lesser impact on sites, features and areas designated as of historic, archaeological or architectural importance in the locations identified. Scope of option means that remote, rural areas will not be affected by new waste development.	Although sites features and areas of historic, archaeological or architectural importance may be preserved, they are unlikely to be enhanced through new waste development. Under this option, potentially more areas could be affected.	-	0							Through the integrated planning policy considerations, it is likely that designated sites should be preserved. Option provides scope for enhancement where possible.		
3	Protect soil resources	Scope of option means that only existing waste sites, industrial estates and brownfield industrial land in the identified areas will be affected. Scope of option may mean that there are a greater number of available sites, thus		0	+							Likely that soil resources will not be impacted in the short term, however there may be the opportunity to protect other soil resources through using		
4	Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within, or in close proximity (i.e. approx . 5km) to the main settlements of over 6,000 population i.e. Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dorddon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne.											Knowledge of sites will allow for assessment of soil resources to minimise the loss of high quality agricultural land. Opportunity to diversify waste management facilities thereby placing less reliance on landfill and over time improving soil resources.		

Spatial Option 4 - Develop new facilities on industrial estates, brownfield industrial land and existing waste management facilities within, or in close proximity (i.e. approx . 5km) to the main settlements of over 6,000 population i.e. Alcester, Atherstone, Bedworth, Bulkington, Coleshill, Kenilworth, Leamington Spa, Nuneaton, Polesworth and Dorddon, Rugby, Southam, Stratford upon Avon, Warwick and Wellesbourne.										
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect Positive	Predicted Nature of Effect Negative	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0,-, -/)			Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	
			ST	MT	LT	ST	MT	LT	Enhancement and mitigation	
9	affording greater protection to areas with higher quality soils.								Local Geological Sites (LGSs - sites of recognised geological/geomorphological interest) should be protected as far as possible.	
10	To preserve and protect geological features and promote geological conservation	Geological conservation should be achieved through integrated planning and appropriate site selection. Scope of option means that geological features in remote rural areas would be protected. Potentially more sites for consideration – greater scope to pick sites of lesser impact in the locations identified.	Option covers a larger proportion of the County – more sites of recognised geological/geomorphological value may be affected under this option.	0	0	+ +			A greater number of sites for consideration will enable sites with less impact to be chosen.	
11	Reduce	Option would facilitate the management of waste close to areas of relatively high waste arisings, thus reducing CO2 emissions through reduced transportation. Option would enable waste to be managed in accordance with the principles of the Waste Hierarchy. Scope for more energy efficient/ renewable technologies at new sites. Larger number of sites for consideration will improve viability/deliverability	Likely that the need for additional sites would not contribute to carbon reduction targets in the short term. Some sites may still be relatively remote from areas of highest waste arisings	-	+	+ +			The addition of new sites will increase the overall carbon load in the short term but appropriate site selection close to areas of population could mean that this is offset by reduced travel over the medium and long term. Site selection and energy efficient technology is key to meeting this SA objective.	
		In the long term, the option	In the short term there is likely to	-	+	+ +			The strategy will need to place greater	

SA Objective		Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0,-, -/)			Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation	Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities	Enhancement and mitigation
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Positive	Negative			ST	MT	LT						
			ST	MT	LT									
12	consumption of natural resources	facilitates the management of waste in accordance with the principles of the Waste Hierarchy. Scope of option means that waste is managed reasonably close to source, reducing fuel usage. A greater number of sites for consideration may mean that there are sites that can make use of economies of scale, which may help to achieve this objective.	be an increase in the consumption of natural resources to build the new developments. As some sites may still be remote from areas of highest waste arisings, there may be relatively longer haulage than for other options (e.g. Option 3)– this would not help in achieving this objective.											
13	To promote adherence to the movement of waste up the waste hierarchy	Option should promote and facilitate the reduction, reuse, recycling and recovery of waste over the medium and longer term through the diversification of waste management technologies. Managing waste reasonably close to source would promote self sufficiency. More sites for consideration/less competition for land may enable economies of scale to be exploited.	The addition of new waste sites may not drive a reduction in waste. If waste sites are not located in urban areas, this may act as a disincentive for reducing, reusing and recycling of waste.	0	+							The option offers significant benefits for this SA objective over the medium and longer term and these outweigh any negative effects of the option.		
	Enfranchise the community in improving the local	Option encourages communities to take greater ownership of their waste (in accordance with the principles of self sufficiency and proximity). The option should	If waste sites are not located in urban areas, this may act as a disincentive for reducing, reusing and recycling of waste.	+	+							The option offers benefits for this SA objective over the short, medium and long term.		Important that the local community is consulted at the earliest stage possible, to ensure that their concerns are taken onboard in a timely fashion.

SA Objective		Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)			Spatial Option	Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	Enhancement and mitigation
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Positive	Negative	ST	MT	LT			
				ST	MT	LT			
14	Improve accessibility to waste management services and facilities	help to empower communities to reduce, re-use and recycle their waste in order to improve the local environment							
15	To ensure that the waste industry plays a central role in the sustainable economic development of Warwickshire	Scope of this option means that waste sites should be located relatively close to areas of high waste arisings, thereby improving accessibility to waste management services and facilities. More sites/less competition for land outside urban areas may mean that more sites can be considered/may be viable.	Some locations could still potentially be remote from areas of highest waste arisings. New sites may place greater pressure on existing infrastructure in the urban areas e.g. transport networks.	+ +	+ +		Waste sites should be located along key transport routes will reduce journey times, and subsequently reduce fuel consumption and costs. Managing waste close to source may also promote self sufficiency.	Site selection should aim not only to reduce the distance required to travel to waste management facilities, but also to consider potential impacts to the environment and human health.	
		This option will facilitate the management of waste in accordance with the Waste Hierarchy i.e. reduction of the amount of waste produced and increased use of waste as a resource. More sites/less competition for land outside urban areas may mean that more sites can be considered/may be viable/may maximise opportunities for economies of scales. Employment opportunities in urban and some						Option could provide employment as well as energy contributions for local areas.	This option could enable diligent site selection and energy efficient equipment so that economic development can be achieved while protecting the environment and community.

SA Objective		Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation
		Positive	Negative	Net Effect (+/-, +, 0,-, -/)			<i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>
				ST	MT	LT	Enhancement and mitigation
	rural locations.	This option could facilitate diversification of waste treatment and disposal options due to reasonable proximity to population. Locating waste development in or close to populated areas may drive operators to explore and use new and innovative environmental technologies. More sites/less competition for land outside urban areas may mean that more sites can be considered/may be viable for using new and innovative technologies.					The benefits of forcing better practice in terms of design and operations would occur over the short, medium and long term.
	To encourage waste operators to explore new and innovative environmental technologies.						Ensure environmental technologies used are kept in line with advancements in cleaner technology in the long term.

Spatial Option 5 - A ‘settlement hierarchy’ option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity							
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>				
			Spatial Option		Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/-)		
			ST	MT	LT		
1 Conserve and enhance biodiversity	Positive	Negative	Likely to be negative impacts on wildlife populations and habitats in the short term such as through noise, vibration, pollution etc. during the initial construction phase. Potentially a limited opportunity for significantly enhancing wildlife populations and habitats through waste development.	-	+	++	The benefits of the option over the long term are likely to outweigh the short term negative effects from new development (noise, vibration, pollution etc.)
2 Protect and improve water resources		Scope of the option allows potentially more locations to be considered, enabling the protection (and potential improvement) of certain water resources.		0	+	++	Generally cleaner technologies should be adopted in new waste management facilities and should protect and improve local water resources in the long term.
3 Avoid, reduce and manage flood risk		The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment will help in reducing flood risk as far as possible. New development would have to comply with building control requirements (SUDs, recycling rainwater etc.) Scope of option means that there are more sites available for consideration.		0	+	+	The SFRA Sequential Test will site development in low flood risk areas to mitigate against the negative effects of flooding.
4 To safeguard	Option facilitates regeneration	New developments could result		-	+	++	The negative effects of The design of the development and the

**Spatial Option 5 - A ‘settlement hierarchy’ option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	
			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/)				
			ST	MT	LT		
1 environmental quality	Positive	Negative				working practices would have to comply with set regulations in place to prevent damage to the environment. Sites would be diligently chosen to help safeguard environmental quality as much as possible. Consultation with professional bodies such as the Environment Agency will also play a part in safeguarding environmental quality.	
5 To minimise potential impacts upon community health.	of previously developed land, protecting remote rural, greenfield locations. Scope of option would mean that waste should be managed close to the areas of highest waste arisings, thus minimising the potential negative environmental impacts from transporting waste.	in noise, vibration, pollution etc. during construction and operation. Cumulative negative impacts at existing waste facilities may be experienced.				new development would be outweighed by the benefits from treating the waste close to its source over the medium/long term.	
6 landscape	To conserve and enhance the character and quality of the County’s landscape and townscapes	Option would facilitate waste being treated close to its source, in accordance with the ‘polluter pays’ principle. Comparatively high number of sites for consideration – greater scope to pick better sites that will have less impact on areas of higher townscape/ landscape value.				Consultation with a wide range of stakeholders will seek to incorporate potential concerns. Monitoring of sites will prevent any unacceptable impacts on community health. Potential impacts may be minimised through appropriate site design and working practices. It is key that new sites do not have an unacceptable impact on community health as Warwickshire compares favourably with England and Wales.	
7	Preserve and enhance sites,	New development will have some degree of impact on community health. Scope of option means that new development will be located within or in close proximity to urban areas – potentially more people may be affected under this option.				The waste development would have to comply with set standards in terms of design and working practices to minimise any potential impact on community health.	
		Option will help to conserve, and prevent use of, remote, rural sites. Cotswold AONB would be conserved/protected under this option. Comparatively high choice of sites for consideration – greater scope to pick better sites that will have less impact on areas of higher townscape/ landscape value.				The scope of this option means that more sites could be evaluated. New development would have to accord with design guidance so as to be sympathetic to the surroundings.	
		Although sites features and areas of historic, archaeological or	0	0	+	Site selection as well as site design is key in ensuring that impacts to areas of historic,	

**Spatial Option 5 - A ‘settlement hierarchy’ option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	
			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -/)				
			ST	MT	LT		
features and areas of historic, archaeological or architectural importance, and their settings	Positive	Negative				archaeological or architectural importance are minimised. Consultation with bodies such as English Heritage will help to preserve Warwickshire’s cultural heritage resources.	
planning policy considerations. Comparatively high choice of sites for consideration – greater scope to pick sites of lesser impact on sites, features and areas designated as of historic, archaeological or architectural importance in the locations identified. Scope of option means that assets in remote, rural areas will not be affected by new waste development.						considerations, it is likely that designated sites should be preserved. Option provides scope for enhancement where possible.	
Scope of option means that only existing waste sites, industrial estates and brownfield industrial land in the identified areas will be affected. Scope of option may mean that there are a greater number of available sites, thus affording greater protection to areas with higher quality soils.	8 Protect soil resources		0	++	++	Likely that soil resources will not be impacted in the short term, however there may be the opportunity to protect other soil resources through using previously developed land and through less reliance on landfill.	
Geological conservation should be achieved through integrated planning and appropriate site selection. Scope of option means that geological features in remote rural areas would be protected. Potentially high choice of sites for consideration – greater scope to pick sites of lesser impact in the locations identified.	9 To preserve and protect geological features and promote geological conservation		0	+	+	A greater number of sites for consideration will enable sites with less impact to be chosen.  Local Geological Sites (LGSs - sites of recognised geological/geomorphological interest) should be protected as far as possible.	

**Spatial Option 5 - A ‘settlement hierarchy’ option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity**

SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	
			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -)				
			ST	MT	LT		
10 To promote the delivery of energy efficiency and carbon reduction targets	Negative	Likely that the need for additional sites would not contribute to carbon reduction targets in the short term.	-	++	++	The addition of new sites will increase the overall carbon load in the short term but appropriate site selection close to areas of highest population could mean that this is offset by reduced travel over the medium and long term. Site selection and energy efficient technology is key to meeting this SA objective.	
11 Reduce consumption of natural resources	Positive	Option would facilitate the management of waste close to areas of highest waste arisings, thus reducing CO2 emissions through reduced transportation. Option would enable waste to be managed in accordance with the principles of the Waste Hierarchy. Scope for more energy efficient/ renewable technologies at new sites. Larger number of sites for consideration will improve viability/deliverability.	-	++	++	The addition of new sites will increase the consumption of natural resources in the short term, but managing waste in accordance with the Waste Hierarchy and the principles of proximity and self sufficiency will provide greater benefits over the longer term.	
12	Positive	In the long term, the option facilitates the management of waste in accordance with the principles of the Waste Hierarchy. Scope of option means that waste is managed close to areas of highest waste arisings, reducing fuel usage. A comparatively high number of sites for consideration may mean that there are sites that can make use of economies of scale, which may help to achieve this objective.	-	++	+	The option offers significant benefits for this SA objective over the medium and longer	
		The addition of new waste sites may not necessarily drive a reduction in waste. If waste sites are not located in urban areas,	0	+	++	Further enhancement through local initiatives/incentives to encourage the timely movement of waste up the waste hierarchy.	

Spatial Option 5 - A 'settlement hierarchy' option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity							
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Spatial Option			Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	
			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -)				
			ST	MT	LT		
waste up the waste hierarchy	Positive	Negative				term and these outweigh any negative effects of the option.	
13	Enfranchise the community in improving the local environment	this may act as a disincentive for reducing, reusing and recycling of waste.				Important that the local community is consulted at the earliest stage possible, to ensure that their concerns are taken on board in a timely fashion.	
14	Improve accessibility to waste management services and facilities	If waste sites are not located solely in urban areas, this may act as a disincentive for communities to reduce, reuse and recycle their waste.	+ +	+ +	+ +	The option offers benefits for this SA objective over the short, medium and long term.	
		Option encourages communities to take greater ownership of their waste in accordance with the principles of proximity and self sufficiency. Located new sites close to areas of highest waste arisings should help to empower communities to reduce, re-use and recycle their waste in order to improve the local environment.	+ +	+ +	+ +	Locating waste sites along key transport routes will reduce journey times, and subsequently reduce fuel consumption and costs. Managing waste close to source may also promote self sufficiency. The benefits over the long medium and short term will outweigh any negatives.	
		Scope of this option means that waste sites should be located relatively close to areas of highest waste arisings, thereby improving accessibility to waste management services and facilities. More sites/less competition for land outside urban areas may mean that more sites can be considered/may be viable. Largest settlements are well served by transport links (i.e. A444, A436, A46 transport	+ +	+ +	+ +	New sites may place greater pressure on existing infrastructure in the urban areas e.g. transport networks.	

Spatial Option 5 - A 'settlement hierarchy' option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity							
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect		Predicted Nature of Effect		Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>	Enhancement and mitigation	
	Positive		Negative				
	axes)		ST	MT	LT		
15	To ensure that the waste industry plays a central role in the sustainable economic development of Warwickshire	This option will facilitate the management of waste in accordance with the Waste Hierarchy i.e. reduction of the amount of waste produced and increased use of waste as a resource. More sites/less competition for land outside urban areas may mean that more sites can be considered/may be viable/may maximise opportunities for economies of scales. Employment opportunities in urban and some rural locations. Option offers greatest scope to maximise benefits through use of the Coventry and Warwickshire Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP)			++	Option could provide employment as well as energy contributions for local areas.	
16	To encourage waste operators to explore new and innovative environmental technologies.				++	This option could enable diligent site selection and energy efficient equipment so that economic development can be achieved while protecting the environment and community.	
					+	The benefits of forcing better practice in terms of design and operations would occur over the short, medium and long term.	

## **Spatial Option 5 - A 'settlement hierarchy' option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity**

Spatial Option 5 - A 'settlement hierarchy' option based on areas of higher population and/or existing waste management capacity					
SA Objective	Predicted Nature of Effect	Predicted Nature of Effect	Spatial Option		Commentary/ Explanation <i>Note predicted nature of effect, how, who and where it will impact, and enhancement opportunities</i>
			Net Effect (+/-, +, 0, -, -)	ST	
Positive	Negative		MT	LT	
					considered/may be viable for using new and innovative technologies.