**The extent of the risk posed by each door or gate to people depends on the criteria given below: -**

Design characteristic of the door and position

* Identify whether exposed pinch point exists within the hinge cavity or handle side
* the weight of the door/gate
* the profile of the door/gate edges (do they have rounded edges, aluminium sharp profile edges)
* is the door/gate closure managed by a door closer (such as those found on fire doors in corridors)
* is the door positioned in such a way that it could be slammed shut by wind or a person?

The likelihood of young children or vulnerable people being in a position to place their fingers in any pinch point that exists which could be influenced by

* the position/use of the door/gate and the frequency with which young children or vulnerable person use it
* the likelihood that young or vulnerable persons will congregate next to a door/gate, with limited close supervision, e.g. queuing for lunch or toilet facilities
* the age and physical/mental abilities of children/vulnerable person
* foreseeable behaviour and level of supervision and control exercised over children/vulnerable person

The above factors need to be considered to establish a risk rating for each door.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Location of door | Risk Rating (using criteria above)  **H**  **M**  **L** | Door type?  Wood  Metal  Other | Door self-  closer fitted? | Action required? | Finger guard required? | Does self closer require adjustment? |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date action completed |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **School:** | **Date of assessment:** |
| **Assessor:** | **Date of review:** |